April 7, 2008 Bremen, Germany

Jacobs University







Spin Hall Effect in a 2DEG in the presence of magnetic couplings

Roberto Raimondi

Coworkers: Peter Schwab (Augsburg) Cosimo Gorini (Augsburg) Michael Dzierzawa (Augsburg) Mirco Milletarì (Lancaster)





- SHE in a 2DEG: why vanishes
- Finite SHE: in-plane magnetic field
- Finite SHE: Magnetic impurities
- Sketch of the derivation
- Conclusions



SHE in a 2DEG: why is zero



Definition

$$j_{s,y}^z = \sigma_{sH} \mathcal{E}_x$$

$$H_{so} = \alpha \mathbf{p} \times \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \ \sigma_{sH}^0 = \frac{|\boldsymbol{e}|}{8\pi}$$



SHE in a 2DEG: how it happens



Vertex corrections cancel bubble

- J. I. Inoue, et al. Phys. Rev. B 70, 041303(R) (2004).
- E. G. Mishchenko, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 226602 (2004).
- R. Raimondi and P. Schwab, Phys. Rev. B 71, 033311 (2005).
- A. Khaetskii, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 056602 (2006).

Connection with in-plane polarization

Physical insight from the quasiclassical Green function approach

$$j_{s,y}^z \propto \sigma_{sH}^0 \mathcal{E}_x + \frac{1}{8\pi \alpha N_0 \tau} s_y^0, \quad \sigma_{sH}^0 = \frac{|e|}{8\pi}$$

R. Raimondi, et al., Phys. Rev. B 74, 035340 (2006).

Electrically-induced in-plane spin polarization



Effective spin-orbit field

$$s_y = -N_0 \alpha \delta p$$

 $\delta p = |e| \mathcal{E}_x \tau$

Edelstein's result

$$s_0 = -N_0 |e| \alpha \tau \mathcal{E}_x$$

V. M. Edelstein, Solid State Commun. 73, 233 (1990).



Bloch's equations

$$\partial_t s_x = -\frac{1}{\tau_s} s_x$$

$$\partial_t s_y = -\frac{1}{\tau_s} (s_y + s_0)$$

$$\partial_t s_z = -\frac{2}{\tau_s} s_z$$

$$\tau_s^{-1} = 2\alpha p_F(\alpha p_F \tau)$$

Dyakonov-Perel relaxation

The static solution allows in-plane spin polarization only

$$s_y = -s_0, s_z = 0$$

Question

What changes in order to have a finite SHE?



$$H_{mf} = \frac{1}{2} g_L \mu_B \mathcal{B}_x \hat{\mathbf{e}}_x \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$$

Zeeman energy $2\omega_s \equiv g_L \mu_B \mathcal{B}_x$

Related work:

Q. Lin, et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 88, 122105 (2006).

 $\partial_t s_x = -\frac{1}{\tau_s}(s_x - s_x^{eq})$

 $\partial_t s_y = -\frac{1}{\tau_c} [s_y + s_1] + 2\omega_s s_z$

H. A. Engel, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 036602 (2007).

$$\frac{\partial s_{y}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{j}_{s}^{y} = -2m\alpha j_{s,y}^{z} + 2\omega_{s}s_{z}$$

 $s_x^{eq} = N_0 \omega_s$ depends on magnetic field

 s_1 and s_2 depend on electric field

 $\partial_t s_z = -\frac{2}{\tau_s} s_z - 2\omega_s [s_y + s_2]$ If $s_1 = s_2$ no out-of-plane polarization! With short-range disorder $s_1 = s_2 = s_0 = -|e|N_0\alpha\tau\mathcal{E}_x$



Magnetic field with long-range disorder

Scattering kernel

$$W(\theta = \phi - \phi') = V_0 + 2V_1\cos(\phi - \phi') + 2V_2\cos(2\phi - 2\phi') + \dots$$

Naively, one would use the transport time:

$$\tau \rightarrow \tau_{tr} \quad \tau_{tr}^{-1} = N_0 \int_0^{2\pi} \mathrm{d}\theta \, W(\theta) (1 - \cos(\theta)) = \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{V_0 - V_1}{V_0}$$

However:

- It would give no effect, since $s_1 = s_2 = -s_0 \tau_{tr} / \tau$
- But it is also wrong!

A D N A B N A B N

The correct generalization: more scattering times

$$s_1 = -s_0 rac{ au_E}{ au}, \ \ s_2 = -s_0 rac{ au_{tr}}{ au} \qquad rac{1}{ au_E} = N_0 \int_0^{2\pi} \mathrm{d} heta \, W(heta) (1 - \cos(2 heta)) = rac{V_0 - V_2}{ au \, V_0}$$

Consequence from Bloch's equations: magnetic field dependence

$$\begin{split} s_{y} &= -\frac{V_{0} \, s_{0}}{V_{0} - V_{2}} \frac{1 + 2\omega_{s}^{2} \tau_{s}^{2} (V_{0} - V_{2}) (V_{0} - V_{1})^{-1}}{1 + 2\omega_{s}^{2} \tau_{s}^{2}} \\ s_{z} &= -\frac{V_{0} \, s_{0}}{V_{0} - V_{2}} \frac{V_{1} - V_{2}}{V_{0} - V_{1}} \frac{\omega_{s} \tau_{s}}{1 + 2\omega_{s}^{2} \tau_{s}^{2}} \end{split}$$

For SHE see Milletari's talk

Note also the Dyakonov-Perel relaxation time renormalization

$$\tau_s^{-1} = 2\alpha p_F(\alpha p_F \tau_{tr})$$



$$s_y \sim \delta n_+ - \delta n_- \quad \delta n_\pm = \frac{\partial \epsilon_{F\pm}}{\partial p_x} \delta p_{\pm x} N_\pm \quad \delta p_{\pm x} = -|e| \mathcal{E}_x \tau_{tr,\pm}$$

The new time τ_E means a modification of the Edelstein result due to different DOS and transport times in the spin-orbit splitted bands

$$s_y = rac{V_F}{4} (N_+ au_{tr,+} - N_- au_{tr,-}) |e| \mathcal{E}_x = -s_0 rac{V_0}{V_0 - V_2}$$



Experimental relevance



InGaAs Faraday rotation spectroscopy $\theta_F \propto s_z$ $w = 60 \mu m$ and $I = 200 \mu m$ Some estimates:

•
$$au_{s} \sim 10$$
 ns $au_{tr} \sim 1$ ps

A ROMA

•
$$\alpha p_F \sim 10^{-5} eV$$

•
$$\omega_s \sim 10^{-6} eV$$

Y.K. Kato, R.C. Myers, A.C. Gossard, and D.D. Awshalom, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 176601 (2004).

Magnetic impurities with short-range correlations

$$H_{mi} = V_m(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{S} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$$

Related work

- J. Inoue, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 046604 (2006).
- P. Wang, et al., Phys. Rev. B 75, 075326 (2007).

Spin-flip time

$$au_{sf}^{-1} = 2\pi N_0 V_m^2 S(S+1)$$

Continuity-like equation with extra source terms

$$\frac{\partial s_{y}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{j}_{s}^{y} = -2m\alpha j_{s,y}^{z} - \frac{4}{3\tau_{sf}}s_{y}$$

For weak magnetic scattering

 $\tau \ll \tau_{\rm sf}$

$$\sigma_{\rm sH} = \frac{|{\rm e}|}{{\rm 3}\pi} \frac{\tau}{\tau_{\rm sf}}$$

However, one can do better! What about $\tau \sim \tau_{sf}$?



Let us go back to Bloch's equations

$$\partial_t \mathbf{s}_x = -\left(\frac{1}{\tau_s} + \frac{4}{3\tau_{sf}}\right) \mathbf{s}_x$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{s}_y = -\left(\frac{1}{\tau_s} + \frac{4}{3\tau_{sf}}\right) [\mathbf{s}_y + \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_0]$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{s}_z = -\left(\frac{2}{\tau_s} + \frac{4}{3\tau_{sf}}\right) \mathbf{s}_z$$



Different colors for different value of $\alpha p_F \tau$ and $V_1 = V_2 = 0$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{s}_0 &= -s_0 \frac{\tilde{\tau}_E}{\tau} \quad \tau_s^{-1} = 2\alpha p_F(\alpha p_F \tau_{tr}) \\ \frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_E} &= \left(\frac{1}{\tau_E} + \frac{1}{\tau_{sf}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{4\tau_s}{3\tau_{sf}}\right) + \frac{4}{3\tau_{sf}} \\ \tau_{tr}^{-1} &= \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{V_0 - V_1}{V_0} + \frac{1}{\tau_{sf}} \end{split}$$

SHE

$$\sigma_{sH} = \frac{|\mathbf{e}|}{3\pi} \frac{\tilde{\tau}_E}{\tau_{sf}}$$

when $\tau_{\it sf} \sim \tau, \, \sigma_{\it sH} \rightarrow$ the universal value

Relevant for mercury telluride-cadmium telluride quantum wells

- Konig et al. Science 318, 766 (2007)
- Wen Yang, Kai Chang and Shou-Cheng Zhang, PRL 100, 056602 (2008)
- Bernevig, Hughes, Shou-Cheng Zhang, Science 314, 1757 (2006)

Sketch of calculation

Quasiclassical Green function

$$\check{g} \equiv \check{g}_{t_1 t_2}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}; \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\pi} \int \mathrm{d}\xi \,\check{G}_{t_1 t_2}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}), \,\,\check{G} = \left(egin{array}{cc} G^R & G \\ 0 & G^A \end{array}
ight)$$

Eilenberger-like equation (Phys. Rev. B 74, 035340 (2006))

$$\partial_t \check{g} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=\pm} \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{p}_{\mu}}{m} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}} (\mathbf{b}_{\mu} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}), \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \check{g}_{\mu} \right\} - \mathrm{i} \sum_{\mu=\pm} [\mathbf{b}_{\mu} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \check{g}_{\mu}] - \mathrm{i} \left[\check{\Sigma}, \check{g} \right]$$
$$\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{p}) = \alpha \mathbf{p} \times \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z - \omega_s \hat{\mathbf{e}}_x \quad \check{\Sigma} = -\mathrm{i} \left(\frac{1}{2\tau} \langle K \check{g} \rangle + \frac{1}{6\tau_{sf}} \sum_{l} \sigma_l \langle \check{g} \rangle \sigma_l \right)$$

| Disorder parameter | SO parameter | Additional parameter |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $rac{1}{\epsilon_F 	au} \ll 1$ Leading terms | $rac{lpha {m p}_F}{\epsilon_F} = rac{lpha}{v_F} \ll 1$ Leading terms | $lpha p_{F} 	au \ll 1$ diffusive $lpha p_{F} 	au \gg 1$ clean |
| | | |

- Magnetic couplings yield finite SHE in a 2DEG
- Effective Bloch's equation for spin dynamics
- Different time scales with long-range disorder
- Future: inclusion of quantum corrections and extrinsic effects

