Reflections on Derivation from Prefix-conjugated Verbs in Somali

GIORGIO BANTI

University of Rome « La Sapienza » - Roma

1. It is well known that Somali, like most other Omo-Tana languages, has a small group of so-called prefix verbs. While its other main classes of verbs are only inflected by means of suffixes — or have new compound forms such as the present tense of the C4 verbs, eg., wuu óg-yahay « he knows » whith óg « know » plus yahay « he is » —, the verbs of this group have a number of tenses where subject concord is expressed by a set of prefixes and, in the 2p and 3p, also by suffixes. In addition to this, four of these verbs express tense and mood through vowel alternations in their root. A good example is the verb yaal « stay, lie », whose present and past tenses are shown in (1) below.

(1)	Present	Past
1s	aal	iil
2s	t- aal	t- iil
3m	y-aal	y- iil
3f	t- aal	t- iil
1p	n-aal	n-iil
2p	t- aall-iin	t- iill-een
3p	y-aall-iin	y-iill-een

Notice that the 1s can be viewed as having a prefix /'/, even though this is not usually spelt in the standard Somali orthography.

No Somali prefix verb has concord prefixes in the whole scatter of its tenses. Besides defective verbs such as ye and yeen « say » that occur only in their past tense, several verbs of this group have complex suppletion patterns with other stems inflected as verbs belonging to one of the major inflectional classes. For istance, « be » has prefix conjugation only in its present tense, eg., 3m y-ahay, 3p y-ih-iin, while its other tenses are C4 forms of the stem /ah/, eg., present restrictive áh, past ah-aa, ah-ayd, etc., negative present ah-í, ah-íd, etc., negative invariabile ah-áyn, and so on like óg, og-aa, og-ayd, og-í, og-íd, og-áyn, etc., from óg « know ». These suppletion patterns are discussed in Andrzejewski (1975) and Banti (1985, pp. 88-93), and will not be repeated here. The attested stems, however, are listed in (2) together with the stems of the verbs that don't show this kind of suppletion. The prefix-conjugated stems listed below are the present

stem (Pr), the past stem (Pa) and the Jussive stem (Ju). The last one is identical with the present stem except in « stay, lie », which can also have *iil* like the past stem (cf. Reinisch, 1903, p. 84; Banti, 1985, p. 90; also Rendille has jussive forms like *y-iill-e*, *t-iill-e*, etc., from this verb, cf. Sasse, 1980, p. 165). The present stem with *aa* is used also in the 2p and 3p of the past, optionally, in t/y-aqaann-een ~ t/y-aqiinn-een and t/y-aall-een ~ t/y-iill-een, obligatorily in t/y-imaadd-een and t/y-iraahd-een.

(2) Stems of verbs with prefix conjugated forms in Somali

	* *		
	Prefix-conj.	stems	Suffix-conj. stems
a.	Pr { ahay ah Pa — Ju —	« be »	ah C4
b.	Pr aqaan Pa { aqaan aqiin Ju aqaan	« know »	aqoot/aqoon C3
c.	Pr aal Pa { aal iil Ju { aal iil	« stay, lie »	aal C1 ool C1 oollaat/oollaan C3
	Pr imaad(d) Pa { imid imi Ju imaad(d)	« come »	imaat/imaan C3 — / iman C3
e.	Pr { iraah(d) iraa Pa iri Ju { iraah (d) iraa	« say »	(dheh Cl — / oran C3
	Pa $\begin{pmatrix} e \\ een \end{pmatrix}$	« say »	
g.	Pr uwaad Pa uwaad Ju uwaad	« be able, can »	awood Cl
h.	Pr ammuud Pa ammuud Ju ammuud	« die »	ummaad Cl

Before pursuing further our argument, a few points should be made about the data in (2). First of all, C3 verbs alternate a stem in a dental stop with a stem in a dental nasal. This dental stop is underlyingly /t/, but always surfaces as d in word-final position; for this reason, eg., in (2.d) « imaat/imaan C3 » represents the C3 forms which alternate these stems. On the other hand, « -iman C3 » indicates that only C3 forms in -n are attested from this stem, while their counterparts from the stem /*imat/ do not occur. 1

Secondly, « imaad(d) », and « iraah(d) » are shortened forms representig the stems that occur in y-imaadd- $aa \sim y$ -imaad and, respectively, y-iraahd- $aa \sim y$ -iraahd-aa. Third, the verb yiraahdaa has dh, ie. /d/, in the varieties that distinguish /d/ from /r/ in postvocalic position, and consequently all the items in (2.e) are likely to contain the same root *d-h. The phoneme /h/ probably disappeared in the stems iraa, iri and oran because it was preceded directly by *d: *idhaa, *idhi, *odhan (cf. Saho-Afar present adhe, past edhe « say » with a similar stem shape VdhV; see also Sasse, 1980, p. 161).

Fourth, the two stems e and een are listed together in (2.f.), even though the forms with -n still have to be explained properly.² These two stems are most fre-

² This verb has two paradigms, with no apparent difference in semantic or syntactic usage:

(i)	a.	b.
1s	e	een
2s	t-e	t-een
3m	у-е	y-een
3f	t-e	t-een
lp	n-e	n-een
2p	t-e	t-een
3p	у-е	y-een

The 2p and 3p in (i.a) can be explained if they are seen to contain the same ending $-\acute{e}$ that occurs in the 3p of the so-called past independent and past comparative tenses, eg., $keen-\acute{e}$ « they brought it » and, respectively, $ig\acute{a}$ $c\acute{a}qli$ $roonaay-\acute{e}$ « they were wiser than I ». Past 3m ye and 3f te have parallels in Dasenech $yi/\acute{e}i$, Saho ye/te, Afar (iy-)ye/(in-)te, which all mean « he said/she said ». The root is probably *y, that occurs with suffix conjugation in Highland East Cushitic (eg., Burji i- « say » and Sidamo y- « say ») and Agaw (y- « say » in Bilin, Xamir, Quara and Kemant) as well as in Old Egyptian (eg. y-sn « they say »).

The stem /een/ may be due to analogy. The older paradigm may indeed have been the following one:

(ii)



This is justified by the facts that suffixes in -VVn are common in 2p and 3p forms of prefix-conjugated verbs in Somali, and that Saho-Afar has only forms with final -n (eg., 2p Saho ten, Afar (in-)ten) in these forms of « say ». However, since Somali also had 2p $t\acute{e}$ and 3p $y\acute{e}$, apparently with the same stem /e/ as the other forms, analogy may have extended -een to the entire singular and the 1p, creating two parallel and synonymous paradigms. Yet until the phonology and history

¹ In the following pages the C3 verbs will be represented with their stem in dental stop, eg./imaat/, for the sake of brevity. If there are only forms from the n-stem, the stem will be represented as, eg., /°imat/, that is the t-stem will be used throughout, but it will be preceded by « ° » when no actual form of it is attested.

quent in Mudug and its surrounding areas, while yimaad, yuwaadaa ~ yuwaad and yammuudaa are used mostly in Central and Southern varieties of Somali. Finally, it should be pointed out that only yiraahdaa and yimaaddaa always have i-vocalism in their past tense. In fact, on the one hand yaqaan and yaal may have past tenses like, eg., y-aqaann-ay « he knew » and y-aall-ay « he lay » with the same stem as their present tense, while on the other hand yuwaadaa and yammuudaa never change their stem in their past tense. (No present tense is attested in Somali for ye and yeen, but it can be seen that also these two defective past tenses do not have i-vocalism).

2. The verb « be » which, as shown above in (2), alternates the prefix-conjugated stems ahay and ah (only in the 2p and 3p) with the C4 stem ah, is the basis from which a number of nouns and verbs are derived. The derivatives of the C4 verb óg « know » listed in (3.b) show that « be » behaves like a C4 verb in this respect.

(3) a. Derivatives of $\acute{a}h$ « be »

```
ahaán n.f. « being »
ahaánsho n.f. « being,
becoming »
aháw v. C3 « become »
ahaysíi v. C2 « cause to be »
```

ahaysiín n.f. « causing to be »

b. Derivatives of δg « know »

```
ogaán n.f. « knowing »
ogaánsho n.f. « knowing »
ogáw v. C3 « know, get knowledge
of »
ogaysíi v. C2 « cause to know, in-
form »
ogaysiín n.f. « causing to know,
information »
```

Actually, as shown in Puglielli (1984a), most of the forms in (3) are part of the regular and productive derivational pattern of the C4 verbs. Also *ah-aysii*, as a causative from a C4 verb, has good parallels in *jecl-aysii* « cause to love » and *og-aysii* « cause to know, inform », even though the more common causatives from C4 verbs are formed through -ée, ie. /ays/, rather than -aysii, eg., adk-ée « cause to become hard », bisl-ée « cause to become ripe », yar-ée « cause to be small » (from yár « small », however, there is also yar-aysii synonymous of yar-ée), etc.

The only fact which is totally isolated within Somali morphology is that a C4 verb should have a prefix-conjugated present tense. If the argument of Banti

of the Beja past tense of « say » listed in (iii) below have been properly understood, one cannot exclude that it may be connected to the Somali forms with -een.

(iii) Beja past tense of «	say »	
. ,	Almkvist (1881, p. 221)	Roper (1928, p. 78)
1s	'an/'áne	áne
2m	téna/ténia	ténea
2f	_	ténΓ
3m	'en/'éne/jéne	éne
3f	_	téne
1p	ne/nen	nen
2p	ten/ten(n)a	tena
3p	en/enna	ēn(a)

(in print) is accepted, namely that the tense labelled as « present comparative » in Andrzejewski (1956, pp. 118, 129) is the older form of the present tense of the Somali C4 verbs, we actually come out with two different present-tense paradigms for « be », ie. (4.a) and (4.b), the former with prefix conjugation and the latter with C4 or « stative » conjugation. (The forms with the stem -ih-, are from underlying /ah/ through vowel assimilation; t-ih-iin, ih-i, etc., are thus properly from /t-ah-iin/, /áh-i/, etc.).

(4) Present tense paradigms of « be » in Somali.

a.	b.
ahay	íh-i
t-ahay	íh-id
y-ahay	áh
t-ahay	áh
n-ahay	íh-in
t-ih-iin	ih-idín
y-ih-iin	áh

A prefix-conjugated present tense corresponding to a « stative »-conjugated one occurs in at least 18 verbs in Afar, as shown in Hayward (1978, p. 27 f.). In all these cases the prefix-conjugated forms have an incohative value and have to be regarded as derivatives rather than as alternatively inflected forms of one lexical item, whereas Somali *ahay* and *ihi* are clearly parts of the paradigm of the same verb ah « be ». Four of these Afar prefix-conjugated incohatives have a stem-final h that is absent in the stem of their cognate « stative » verbs:

(5) Prefix-conj. incohative (past stem)

« Stative » verb

Hayward (1978) suggests that -y- has been added to these incohative prefix-conjugated verbs to give them « a "respectably" Semitic shape — similar to numerous other loans from Arabic and Ethiopian Semitic languages » (p. 31). This is possible, even though the fact that only four incohatives are prefix verbs with a y extension, while the other 14 prefix-conjugated incohatives show different derivational types (eg., $eede^ce \sim eed^ce$ « be pregnant » from id^ca « be pregnant », in^cibe « be angry » from ni^cba « hate », elle « get » from le « have », etc.), and the most common and productive type of incohatives from statives are suffix-conjugated verbs with -oow- (eg., cadd-oow-e « become white » from cado « be white »), may also indicate that the four incohatives with y are relics of an older derivational type. It is safe to assume, however, that Somali $t-ahay \sim ih-id$ « you are » has a cognate in Afar, eg., t-anuwwuye « you are revived » $\sim nuww-ito$ « you are alive ». Future research will have to show which was the original relationship between the prefix-conjugated forms with the y extension and the « stative »-conjugated forms.

As for the 2p and 3p forms *tihiin* and *yihiin*, rather than positing *t-ahay-n and *y-ahay-n as suggested by Reinisch (1903, p. 85), I regard *t-ahay-iin and *y-ahay-iin as more likely forms, which were subsequently shortened into the present ones. The prefix-conjugated present tense of « be » tends still now to be shortened in Somali; for instance, Andrzejewski (1969, p. 53) reports forms like 2p tiin and 3p yiin as « optional variants used in less deliberate style of pronounciation ». Reinisch's forms, instead, require a 2p/3p suffix *-n that does not occur elsewhere in Somali, and the raising ay > ii that seems rather ad hoc.

3.1. The other prefix-conjugated verbs listed in (2) have stems that cannot be accounted for through the regular synchronic morphology not only in their inflectional paradigms but also in their derivation.

Starting from the verb yaqaan « know », the oo vocalism and the final dental stop of the C3 stem /aqoot/ that forms, eg., alternative forms of the past tense (aqooday, aqootay, etc.), the infinitive (aqoón), etc., are unusual. Neither is the feminine noun aqoón « knowledge » justified by the normal derivational patterns; the C3 stem /aqoot/ should have aqoónsho f. — which is attested — or *aqóod m. From aqoón the two synonyms aqooonn-í and aqoónn-in « known person » are formed, both derivationally irregular.

The verb yaal « lie, stay » has the two suppletive stems /ool/ Cl and /oollaat/ C3 which are strange. The noun óol m. « esterior part, surface » is regular from the Cl stem /ool/, even though it shows a considerable semantic specialization. The action noun oollimáad m. « lying » has the suffix /aad/ of a small group of feminine action nouns from Cl verbs (eg., caddib-aád f. « torturing », kallah-aád f. « walking early in the morning »), but this suffix has the wrong gender here and is added to ooll-im-, that recalls aqoónn-in. Taállo f. « pile of earth or stones, monument » seems to be the 3f of the present tense plus a final -o. Also iil m. « lateral niche where the corpse lies in the grave » may belong here, unless a better etymology is found for the Cl verb iil « incline, turn », which is connected with it. Aál f., used only adverbially with possessive suffixes — aásháy, aáshaá, etc., to men « slowly », looks like a derivative of yaal, if its original meaning could be something like « (as if I was) lying ». On the other hand, also the Cl stem /aal/ attested, eg., in the imperative áal, the inifinitive aálli, etc., is of interest here.

Yimaaddaa « come » has a stem extension d like y-iraah-d-aa « say », that is absent in those more Central varieties that have 1s imaad-a, 2s t-imaad-daa with /aa/, /taa/, etc., of the suffix conjugation. This stem extension still lacks a wholly satisfying explanation, pace Zaborski (1975, p. 47 ff.) and Sasse (1980, p. 160 f.). The C3 stems /imaat/ and especially /°imat/, the deverbal noun imáad m. « coming, comer », and the derivative timaáddo f. « future » — which looks as being of the same type as taállo — are to be considered.

It has already been said that *yiraahdaa* « say » has /d/ rather than /r/ in the varieties that preserve this distinction after vowels. Yet no productive process explains the alternation between *yiraahdaa* and *yiraa*, nor the Cl stem /dheh/ or the C3 stem /°orat/. The feminine nouns oraáh « saying, word » and oraah « word » contain the same root with different vocalisations. Probably also *éray* m. « insolent answer, word » belongs here, but it has r even in varieties that preserve /d/ after a vowel. Since, as will be seen below, also the vowel e is strange here — e would be expected —, it is possible to think that this is a loan-word

from a dialect that already had *d > r, and that the original shape of the word should have been *edh-ay > *erh-ay, where -ay is a singulative suffix attested also, eg., in Somali $hawe\acute{e}n-ay$ « woman », as well as in Beja, Burji, Yaaku and Southern Cushitic (cf. Zaborski, 1986, pp. 39, 57, 188, 225, 277). Interestingly, *edh is the stem shape preserved in Saho-Afar edh-e « say », in Jiiddu ed-aal-er-aal « say », as well as in Southern Oromo y-ed'- « say »-in other varieties of Oromo this vers is jed'-, with yj-.

It has already been pointed out that the verb yuwaadaa « be able » has prefix-conjugated forms only in Southern and some Central varieties, while the Cl verb awóod is used in the North and in several areas of the Centre. Also here no normal derivational rule accounts for the oo vocalism. There is also the feminine noun awoód « strength, energy », which if derived from the verb awóod should have masculine gender like most stem nouns from Cl verbs.

Finally, the verb yammuudaa « die » has several unusual derivatives. Tammooti « animal killed when it was going to die because of a wound or a disease » contains /t-ammood/ and the same suffix -ti of gal-ti « foreigners, persons who came from somewhere », gashaan-ti « unmarried girl », etc. Ummáad m. « death » and ummáad Cl « die » — spelt with one m in the Dizionario somalo-italiano (1985, p. 594) — belong here as well as tummaati « wound caused by a pointed weapon », that has the same vocalization /t-ummaad-ti/ and had as its original meaning something like « deadly (wound) ».

M'ood m. « not alive » (in the phrase m'ood iyo n'ool « not alive and living property », ie. « objects and livestock ») belongs here, but it may be a loan from Arabic mawt « death » — yet with a different history than Somali m'owd « death » — or Harari $mot \sim mut$ « dead, death ». The C4 verb moot'an « be dead » clearly derives from m'ood. Its t is probably to be explained as underlying /tt/ with morphological gemination as in cuk-'an /cukk-'an/ « satiated with water » (cf. Boni j-a'ak-a « drink » with ' < ° as confirmed, eg., by Dullay 'cuk- « drink »), diidd-'an « be opposed », k'a caagg-'an « be totally averse to », yaabb-'an « be astonished », etc. In addition to this, the two Arabic loanwords m'owd « death » and m'eyd « corpse » (from Arabic mayt « dead ») originated the two derivatives mowt'a and meyt'a, both meaning « the dead, spirits of the dead ».

(6) Main derivatives and suppletive stems of the other Somali prefix-conjugated verbs

a. Yaqaan « know »

/agoot/ v. C3 « know »

taállo n.f. « heap of earth or stones, monument » iil n.m. « lateral niche in the grave »

- c. Yimaaddaa « come »
 /imaat/ v. C3 « come »
 /°imat/ v. C3 « come »
 imáad n.m. « coming, comer »
 timaáddo n.f. « future »
- d. Yiraahdaa « say »
 /iraa/ v. prefix-conj. « say »
 /dheh/ v. Cl « say »
 /°orat/ v. C3 « say »
 oraáh n.f. « saying, word »
 oróh n.f. « word »
 érey n.m. « insolent answer, word » (loanword?)
- e. Yuwaadaa « be able, can »
 /awood/ v. C1 « be able, can »
 awoód n.f. « strenght, energy »
- f. Yammuudaa « die »
 /ummaad/ v. Cl « die »

 ummáad n.m. « death »

 tummaatí n.f. « wound caused by a pointed weapon »

 tammootí n.f. « animal killed when it was going to die because of a wound or a disease »

 móod n.m. « not alive » (loanword?)

 mootán v. C4 « be dead »

 mówd n.m. « death » (Arabic loanword)

 méyd n.m. « corpse » (Arabic loanword)

 mowtí
 mowtí
 meytí

 n.f. « the dead, spirits of the dead »

3.2. It is well-known that agent nouns are commonly formed in Somali through the suffixes m. -e and f. -to, eg., dhegéyste m. « male listener » and dhegeysàto f. « female listener » or, collectively, « listeners » from /dhegeys-at/ « listen » (cf. Puglielli, 1984a, p. 21 ff.). Less common suffixes are m. -áa and -eén, eg., dil-áa « killer » and dhul-mar-eén « traveller » (from dhúl « country » and már « pass »). In compounds agent nouns are often masculine stem-nouns (for the notion of stem-noun in Somali, cf. Puglielli, 1984a, p. 14 ff.), like ari-jír m. « shepherd » (ári « sheep and goats » jír « stay with »), waraaba-la-hádal « person who is believed to be able to speak to hyaenas » (waraábe « hyaena », lá « with », hádal « speak »), etc., or from C2 verbs af-célis « interpreter » (áf « language », céli « return »), malab-máris « honey cuckoo, cuculus indicator » (málab « honey », mári « show »), etc.

The compound noun gadaal-ka-imáad m. « newcomer » (gadáal « after », ká « from ») contains the masculine stem-noun imáad and is thus of the same type

as ari-jír and af-célis. But a number of compunds from yaqaan « know » and yaal « lie, stay » contain instead of a masculine stem-noun the forms m. -yaqáan and -yáal, as well as f. - taál, that look like the 3m and, respectively, the 3f of the present stem. In some cases there is even f. - taállo, ie., the 3f of the present stem with the suffix -o. A short list of these forms is given in (7) below, which is not to be regarded as exhaustive.

- (7) a. af-yaqáan m. « person who knows languages (áf) » gar-yaqáan m. « judge, person who knows the law (gár) » wax-yaqáan m. « expert, person who knows things (wáx) »
 - b. dambas-yáal m. « that lies in the ash (dámbas) », ie., « stone for supporting pots while cooking » « piece of wood in the ash » dibad-yáal m. « exposed, open; that lies outside (dibád) » if-yáal m. « exposed, open; that lies in the light (if) »
 - c. daran-ku-taál f. « that lies on (kú) the daran-grass », kind of edible root dhex-taál f. « that lies between (dhéx) », « refrain (in a poem) »
 - d. dhex-taállo f. variant form of dhextaál

It is interesting that very similar forms are attested as a productive type of agent noun in Afar. Indeed, Parker and Hayward (1985) report several forms like m. yaadigi « male knower », f. taadigi « female knower », pl. taadago « knowers » from eedege « know ». Here the masculine and feminine singular are identical with the 3m and 3f of the present tense with a nominal suffix -i, cf. y-aadig-e « he knows » and, respectively, t-aadig-e « she knows ». The plural has the feminine t- prefix, a-vocalism in the whole stem if the root allows it in its jussive stem, and final -o; it is thus formally similar to Somali f. t-aáll-o. Other examples of this type for Afar are yaaguri « rinderpest » from oogore « beat, strike », and even yasgalli « conjunction (gramm.) » from esgelle « mix together ».

As a consequence, Somali yaqáan m. « knower », yáal m. « lier » — as well as f. taál and taállo —, and probably even taállo « heap of stones » (lit. « that which lies ») and timaáddo « future » (lit. « that which comes ») are to be seen as relics of an old type of agent noun.

4. Let us consider now the shapes of the stems in the different forms of the prefix verbs and of their derivatives that have been seen so far.

First of all, there is a group of stems with long aa between the first and the second stem consonant. Stems with one consonant only have this aa either before it like aal or after it like iraa, which was said in section 1 to derive from *iqhaa, ie., from a biconsonantal stem with no intervening vowel between the first and the second consonant. The feminine noun oraáh concords with this pattern, but its first vowel is o, while the present, past and jussive stems of the root *q-h « say » always have i as first vowel in Somali: iraah(d), iraa, iri. Since o is the vowel that characterises a number of derived stems, as will be seen later in more detail, it is better to regard oraáh as being marked both as an a-stem and as an o-stem.

Ahay and ah are the only prefix-conjugated present stems with short a seen so far. If /ah/ in t-ih-iin is syncopated from an older *t-ahay-iin as argued in section 2, we have that Somali present stems with prefix conjugation are of the types /aCaaC/, /iCaaC(d)/, /uCaaC/, /aaC/, /iCaa/ (only in iraa), and /aCaC/. (For /aCCuuC/ see below in this section).

Short a is attested also in the C4 forms of ah « be », as well as in the C3 forms of /°imat/ and /°orat/. Because of *iraa*, *iri* and the possible loanword er-ey, /°orat/ should be seen as /°or-at/, i.e., as consisting in the stem /or/ and the middle root-extension /at/. By comparison with the stem /iraah(d)/, /or/ appears as a « reduced » stem. The same analysis can be made for /°im-at/, from pimaaddaa that has indeed the monoconsonantal past stem /imi/ like /iri/. In this manner, putting aside ah as the only C4 stem alternating with a prefix-conjugated stem, the short a in /°or-at/ and /°im-at/ actually belongs to their root-extension.³

The stems with aa and a are listed below in (8), distinguishing whether they occur in verbal forms (v) or in nouns (n).

(8) A-stems

i. Stems with long aa

/aCaaC/: v aqaan

n y-agáan

/iCaaC(d)/: v imaad(d), /imaat/; iraah(d)

n imáad, t-imaádd-o

/uC(C)aaC/: v uwaad; ummaad

n ummáad, /t-ummaad-tí/

/aaC/: v aal

n aál, y-áal, t-aál, t-aáll-o

/iraa/: v iraa

ii. Stems with short a

/aC/: v ah

/aCaC/: v ahay

iii. O/a-stem

/oCaaC/: n oraáh

Another group of stems has oo corresponding to aa in the types /aCaaC/ and /aaC/. Notice that in two verbs the change is not just from aa to oo, but from the pattern /uC(C)aaC/ to /aC(C)ooC/. Three stems from the root *d-h « say » have short o as their first stem vowel: $ora\acute{a}h$ and the « reduced » stem /°or-at/, which were seen above, and the feminine noun $or\acute{o}h$. Since the second o of $or\acute{o}h$ can be an echo vowel as in $k\acute{o}boc$ « fat » vs. $kobc-\acute{i}d$ « fattening » or $er\acute{e}g$ « what has been entrusted » vs. $erg-\acute{i}d$ « entrusting », etc., $or\acute{o}h$ may be seen as a development of an older stem *odh.

 $M\acute{o}od$ and its derivative $moot\acute{a}n$ are instances of a pattern /CooC(C)/ that could belong here. Yet it has already been stated that it could be a loanword from Arabic or another Semitic language like Harari. This suspicion is strengthen-

³ The stem /°im-at/, whose forms with -n only are attested in Somali (eg., infinitive imán, negative imperative plural ha imannína, etc.), would have in its forms with the dental stop the alternation *int-~*imat-~*iman-. For instance, the past tense would be 3m *intay, 3f *imatay, etc. Interestingly, the stem int- is attested in two cognates of this verb, ie., Burji int-ay- « come » and Awngi int- « come » (a prefix-conjugated verb, eg., perfect definite 3m y-int-a, 3f t-int-a). It is thus possible that Somali forms like the infinitive imán attest that in the past there were in the paradigm of « come » forms where the sequence *-int- could be interpreted as an alternant of the stem /°im-at/ in some varieties of Somali (on the problem of the verb « come » in East Cushitic see also Hayward, 1979).

ed by the fact that all the other stems from prefix-conjugated verbs that have been seen so-far always have an initial vowel and not a consonant.

(9) *O*-stems

i. Stems with long oo

/aC(C)ooC/: v /aqoot/; awood

n aqoón, aqoonn-í, aqoónn-in; awoód; /t-ammod-tí/

/ooC/: v ool, /ooll-aat/

n óol, ool-im-áad

ii. Stems with short o

/oCoC/: n oróh

iii. Possible loan-word

/CooC(C)/: v moot-án

n *móod*

Stems with ii or i as characteristic vowel are attested in past stems and in the jussive stem of yaal « lie, stay ». If iil « lateral niche in the grave », is a derivative of this verb, it would be the only derivative noun from a prefix-conjugated verb that has ii as its stem vowel in Somali.

Also the feminine noun yaqiin « certainty » has ii in its stem, but it is a loanword from Arabic yaqiin « certainty » (from the verb yaqina, imperfect yayqanu « be certain »). Its similarity with Somali aqoón « knowledge » and y-aqaan « he knows » is striking, and the fact that there are no clear cognates to this verb in the other Omo-Tana languages makes it tempting to regard Somali yaqaan as created analogically from the Arabic loanword yaqiin « certainty », reinterpreted as y-agiín. Yet this verb has possible cognates in Yaaku geeno' « know » (where the correspondence between Somali q and Yaaku q is regular, cf. Sasse, 1979, pp. 47 f., 54), and in a group of words in Highland East Cushitic (HEC). ie., Sidamo egen- (Gasparini, 1983, p. 179) or eegen- (Moreno, 1940, p. 213) « know », Timbaaro and Alaba enkee'n- « know », Qabena inke'n- « know ». Both Moreno (1940, p. 213) and Sasse (1982, p. 67), who spells *eegan*, do not mention the cognates of this Sidamo verb in the Northern HEC languages, ie., in Timbaaro, Alaba and Qabena, and connect it with Somali eeg- « look at ». Oromo eeg- « guard, watch », etc. Yet even though the correspondence between Sidamo g, Northern HEC nk and q in Somali and Yaaku deserves an explanation, it seems clear that Somali yaqaan « know » has cognates within East Cushitic. As a consequence, one may speak at most of interference with Arabic yaqiin, eg., in helping to preserve an old verb that was otherwise lost in the other Omo-Tana languages.4

⁴ To establish a direct link between Somali *y-aqaan* « know » and the Somali C4 verb δg « know » as, eg., Ferrario (1923) does is wrong. Indeed, Somali δg has good cognates in Dasenech δg , Elmolo δg (with Arbore * δg in mooq < * δg in other Omo-Tana languages, as in Arbore * δg name » vs. Somali * δg Rendille * δg etc.) and Oromo * δg expert ». In this case, Omo-Tana and Oromo * δg may derive from * δg because the counterparts of this verb are Awngi prefix-conjugated * δg « know », Kemant suffix-conjugated * δg « know » and possibly Bilin prefix-conjugated * δg « know » (in * δg ave « you know », cf. Hetzron 1976, 33). Ethiopian Semitic borrowed this verb from Agaw (?) already in Ge'ez * δg « know » and there still are, eg., Amharic * δg know » and Harari * δg « know ». But Somali usually

A small group of forms has e or ee as their characteristic vowel, but they all have a marginal status within Somali. Indeed, /e/ and /een/, that provide two past-tense paradigms of « say », have good cognates with e in other Cushitic languages (cf. note 2), but they are the only prefix-conjugated past tenses with e/ee in Somali. As a consequence, they can be regarded as relics. As for the stem /eC/ in ér-ay « insolent answer, word », it has already been said that it may be a loanword (cf. section 3.1.). Dhéh, imperative of yiraahdaa, which developed into a suffix-conjugated paradigm in Central and Southern varieties of Somali, eg., present tense 3m dhah-aa, 3f dhah-daa, infinitive dhíh-i, etc., is probably a very old form, besides being the only consonant initial stem from a prefix-conjugated verb with a clearly Cushitic tradition — all the other stems begin with vowels, but for móod and moot-án that may be loan words -. In fact, it has the shape CVC also in Afar (in-)dih and Rendille dah, which both are irregular imperatives of « say ».

Finally, y-ammuud-aa « die » is the only instance of the type /aCCuuC/ in the set of stems connected with prefix-conjugated verbs in Somali. The stem /ammuud/ is isolated also in its being the only prefix-conjugated present tense that does not have a or aa between its first and second root consonant. Several Somali native speakers regard this verb as a loanword from Arabic, which indeed has y-amuut-u, imperfect of maata « die » — even though this verb is attested also in Ethiopian Semitic, eg., Harari yimut ~ yimot, imperfect of mota « die » —. Yet *mwt « die, death » is an old Afroasiatic root — cf., eg., Coptic mowt « be dead », Tuareg ëmmët « die », hausa mútu « die », etc. —, and it may have been preserved independently in Cushitic. Rendille, an Omo-Tana language closely related with Somali and spoken in Northern Kenya in an area where the influence of Arabic should be relatively insignificant, has indeed present 3m y-amut, 3p yamuut-in, past 3m y-umuy, 3p y-umaat-en, jussive 3m y-umaat-e « die ». The rendille jussive stem /umaat/ is the same as Somali /ummaad/ in ummáad « death » and /t-ummaad-ti/, and this is the stem that one would expect to be used in the Somali prefix-conjugated present, cf. the Rendille jussive stems /imaat/, /idaah/, /ubaad/ vs. Somali /imaad(d)/, /iraah/, and, respectively, /uwaad/. Boni, that usually has the same vocalisation as Somali in its prefix-conjugated verbs, has this type /uC(C)aaC/in its j-uwaad-a « he dies » (Past j-uwud-i), but the root is *w-d, the same as Somali wéd m. « death » and wéd v. Cl « cause somebody's death ». Instead of the expected present tense *y-ummaad-aa, Somali thus has y-ammuud-aa which, rather than being an unlikely retention of the type of present-tense formation attested in Rendille, should be regarded as a contamination of the autochthonous form *y-ummaad-aa with a Semitic imperfect form of this root. The reason for this innovation may have been both the quasi-synonymy of *y-ummaad-aa « he dies » and y-imaad(d)-aa « he comes » and the strong emotional value of this verb.

5.1. If one disregards the stems attested in forms like $\acute{e}r$ -ay « insolent answer, word », $m\acute{o}od$ « not alive » and y-ammuud-aa « die » which, as argued in the preceding sections, may be loans or contaminations with foreign forms, the stems

keeps Omo-Tana *g well apart from *q. As a consequence, even though one cannot exclude that the two sets of cognates of Somali $\acute{o}g$ and $\emph{y-aqaan}$ may ultimately derive from the same root, they are clearly separated within Somali and Omo-Tana as well.

that occur in the derivatives and the suppletive forms of the Somali prefix verbs can be brought down to a few types.

Most of the other derived and suppletive forms contain an o-stem — according to the root /aC(C)ooC/, /ooC/ and /oCoC/—. There are both masculine and feminine stem-nouns like m. óol and f. aqoón, oróh, etc. The o-stem forms nouns with the suffixes -i or -in, and with the prefix t- and the suffix -ti. In addition to this, there are forms inflected as Cl verbs (awóod, óol) and as C3 verbs (/aqoot/). In one case, maybe through analogy with /im-aat/, there is /ooll-aat/, ie., an o-stem with the C3 suffix /aat/.

Finally, the f. noun oraáh was seen as an instance of the type /oCaaC/, a sort of blend of the a-stem iraah(d) and the o-stem of oróh. These types are listed in (10) below.

5.2. Having isolated these types of derivative and suppletive forms of prefix-conjugated verbs, it becomes possible to look whether other nouns and verbs of the same types may attest that other prefix-conjugated verbs existed in the past in Somali but are not alive as such any more in this language.

Consider first the masculine noun $\acute{o}rod$ « running » and the Cl verb $\acute{o}rod$ (infinitive $\acute{o}rd$ -i) « run ». They are respectively the masculine stem-noun and the Cl verb from an o-stem; the type is the same as m. $\acute{o}ol$ and Cl $aw\acute{o}od$, $\acute{o}ol$, while the stem is /oCoC/ ($\sim/oCC/$) as $or\acute{o}h$. Rendille and Boni have prefix-conjugated cognates of this verb, respectively present y-arid, past y-irid, and present j-iraad-a, past j-irid-i « run » (cf. Sasse, 1980, p. 163). Interestingly, in Saho-Afar this verb is prefix-conjugated erd-e « run », with the same stem shape as $ed\rlap/h$ -e « say ». This similarity is preserved in Somali $\acute{o}rod$ and $or\acute{o}h$.

Several compound nouns have as their last element -yáhan « eater, person who is very familiar with » like, eg., ceeriin-yáhan « eater of raw meat », aqoon-yáhan « expert, scholar ». The form looks like an agent noun of the same type as gar-

⁵ The change from n to /t/ in the second root consonant is probably due to analogy here. The starting point may have been the invariable negative forms $m\acute{a}$ oól « didn't lie, didn't stay » and $m\acute{a}$ aqoón « didn't know », with \emptyset -ending like $m\acute{a}$ arág « didn't see » and $m\acute{a}$ maqál « didn't hear ». $M\acute{a}$ oól could only be a C1 form, while $m\acute{a}$ aqoón looked like the negative of a C3 verb in /oot/ such as $m\acute{a}$ riyoón « he didn't dream ». The proportion was then $m\acute{a}$ riyoón: /riyoot/ = $m\acute{a}$ aqoón : x.

yaqáan « judge » or if-yáal « exposed », ie., it implies a 3m y-ahan « he eats » with an a-stem of the same type /aCaC/ as y-ahay « he is ». Actually, this is the very stem of the present tense of the verb « eat » in all the other Omo-Tana languages with the only exception of Dasenech! It has suffix-conjugation in Maay aam- « eat » and Bayso aam- « eat », that anyhow are languages that lost almost entirely the old conjugation by prefixes. But it has prefix conjugation in Jiiddu 3m y-aam-ë « he eats », Boni 3m j-aham-a, Rendille 3m y-aḥam, 3p y-aṃḥ-in, Arbore 3m y-áham-a, Elmolo 3m y-aam-a. This verb is cognate with Saho-Afar okom-e ~ okm-e « eat » whose stem /akam/ is attested, eg., in the Afar jussive t-akam-ay « let her eat! » or the Afar agent noun y-akam-i m. « irritation of the skin » (cf. for this semantic shift the Somali iterative cúncun « eat » and « itch »); it is one of the clearest instances of *k > h / a—a in Omo-Tana.

The feminine action noun occurs in *gees-taán* « disease that causes heavy pains » (lit. « which eats the side »); its original form was *-t-ahám, but * m gave n sillable-finally as in -yáhan — and more generally almost everywhere in Somali —, and h vanished between similar vowels (for other examples of $h > \emptyset$ cf. Lamberti, 1983, p. 332 f.).

Somali preserves a considerable number of other nouns derived from this verb. Oón f. « food » is a feminine noun from the o-stem like oróh « word »; its reconstructible form many be *ohóm with *h > \emptyset as in -t-aán, but it should be pointed out that all the words with the o-stem of this root in Somali have oom-~oon and never retain h. Oom-i f. « food » is an o-stem with the suffix -i like aqoonn-i « known person ». Interestingly, two a-stems are attested for this root: /*aham/ and /ahm/. The first one occurs in -yáhan, *-t-ahám and geestahányo f., a variant form of gees-taán. Notice that nouns of the type t+[astem] + yo such as t-ahán-yo had not been met so far. The stem /ahm/, that has an exact parallel in Rendille -amh- < * -ahm- in, eg., y-amh-in « they eat », occurs in af-táhmo « ability of speaking well », where t-áhm-o is of the same type as t-imaádd-o and t-aáll-o. (This compound gave rise to af-táhan m. « person who knows how to speak well » through contamination with the type aqoon-yáhan; the occurrence of the feminine prefix t- in a masculine noun is otherwise inexplicable). Also áhm-i and áhm-in m. « fat animals to be killed and eaten » contain /ahm/ in two forms of a type $[a\text{-stem}] + \{i, in\}$ that had not been met till now. Oomatí f. « food » clearly contains the o-stem /oom/, but the final part -atí has to be explained.

Dhiig-yácab « blood-thirsty » (lit. « blood drinker ») contains the m. action noun yácab that should imply an old 3m *y-acab « he drinks » with /aCaC/ like y-ahay and *y-ahan. The same root occurs in Somali cáb « drink » as a suffix-conjugated Cl verb, but it has prefix conjugation in Afar present y-acub-e, past y-oocob-e « drink ». Rendille 3m y-abhub, 3p y-abhuub-in, past 3m y-ubhub, 3p y-ubhaab-en « drink ». Sasse (1980, p. 167) showed that this verb contains the same root, with *c > h as usually in Rendille and metathesis as in y-amh-in < *y-ahm-in. Rendille thus attests the three stems /*acbub/, /*ucbub/ and /*ucbaab/, and it is striking that the last one should not occur in Somali, since it has the same vocalisation type /uC(C)aaC/ in uwaad and ummaad at least. This, together with the fact that Somali lacks other derivatives from *y-acab « drink » that conform to the patterns listed in (10) below, makes it likely that the isolated form -yácab « drinker » is a loan from Afar. The original action

noun y-aa cab-i could be easily remoulded into the more Somali-like -y-ácab that conforms to the morphological patterns of this language.

A small group of words attest the two stems /asooll/ and /osol/~/osl/, that are typical of the derivation of a prefix-conjugated verb: asoóll-i v. C1 « mate (subj. ram) », asooll-án and osl-án. v. C4 « be on heat (subj. sheep) », ósol n.m. « (sheep) at heat ». A prefix-conjugated verb with a root *s-l is attested in Rendille y-asil « lie down », Arbore y-éssel-a « cause to stand, stop », both old causatives of yaal « lie, stay » (cf. Sasse, 1980, p. 167). If asoólli and oslán are cognates of these two verbs, Somali would attest a semantic specialisation from « cause to lie, cause to stop », to « mate », maybe through the C4 derivatives asooll-án and osl-án « be caused to stand still while mating (subj. ewe) ».

Finally, the two words ugáas m. « tribal chief » and agaás-in m. « orderly arrangement, government » may be seen as instances of the same type as m. ummáad and, respectively, áhm-in, ie. as attesting two types of a-stems /ugaas/ and /agaas/ of an old prefix verb meaning « govern, direct » or something similar. Indeed, this verb had to be a loanword from Ethiopian Semitic, and particularly from Harari gäza'a « govern, own, posses » which has, eg., imperfect 3m yigäz that could easily be Somalized into *y-ugaas or *y-agaas. (Other Ethiopian Semitic languages have, eg., Amharic and Argobba gäzza « govern », Tigrinya gäz'e « govern » Ge'ez gäz'ä « govern » and 'igzi' « master ». This verb was also borrowed into Saho igzi'e or igziye « rule over » according to the dialect). The Somali forms, beside showing that it was fitted into the derivational pattern of the preficonjugated verbs, indicate also that the borrowing took place rather recently, most likely during the political hegemony of Harar over the North-Western Somalis in the XVIIth-XIXth centuries, when other titles of the Harari state such as gärād and mälāq were borrowed into Somali as titles of tribal chiefs. Indeed, Ethiopian Semitic z could not be preserved as s otherwise, but would have changed into d in Somali, like Omo-Tana *z (eg., in wádn-e « heart » from *wazn-) and the older Arabic loanwords (eg., dágo « first month in the year », « animals given each year as alms to the poor » vs. the more recent sdko « alms tax », « first month of the muslim year » from Arabic zakaah).6

In conclusion, it has been seen that by analysing the derived words and suppletive stems of the prefix-conjugated verbs in Somali it is possible to find a derivational system that involves internal ablaut, prefixes and suffixes in a manner that differs significantly from the productive derivational morphology of Somali (cf., eg., Puglielli, 1984a). In addition to this, words of derivational types characteristic of prefix-conjugated verbs make it possible to find traces in Somali of verbs that

⁶ Cerulli (1964: 57) connects Somali ugáas with Agaw: Bilin ga²x « become old, be the first », Quara ga³s « become big », Kemant ga³s « become big ». I think that the Harari etymology is to be preferred, since it has an exact parallel in Saho. Interestingly, Somali lacks cognates to Boni Pr j-igaas-a, Pa j-igis « kill », Rendille Pr 3m y-agis, 3p y-agiis-in, Pa 3m y-igis, 3p y-igaas-en « kill », even though this verb is attested in Southern Somali dialects like Garre igaas, Tunni egees, and Dabarre igees which all mean « kill ». If Somali borrowed from Harari *y-ugaas or *y-agaas « he rules over, he governs », its great similarity with the old *y-igaas « he kills » may easily explain why this verb was replaced by the verb dil in Maay and all the Central and Northern varieties of Somali. Ironically the old Somali *y-igaas « he kills » may survive in Harari yägässi « malicious, bad, wicked », whose original meaning would thus be « killer » (even though Leslau, 1963, pp. 76, 163, explains this word differently). The final -i may be regarded as an internal development in Harari of this Somali loanword. The same old agent noun *y-igaas « killer » may survive also in Somali yaxaas m. « crocodile », that could then have *g > h as on irregular sound change in order to avoid the older tatoo word.

are inflected in this way in cognate languages, such as « run » and « eat », as well as to identify a few borrowings from other languages.

- (10) Derivatives and suppletive stems of prefix-conjugated verbs. (Underlined froms lack an actually occurring verb of this type in Somali).
- a. Nouns
 - i. Stem-nouns

[a-stem] m.: imáad « coming, comer »; ummáad « death »; ugáas « tribal chief »

[a-stem] f.: $a\acute{a}l \ll lying \overline{(?)} \gg$

[o-stem] m.: óol « surface »; órod « running »

[o-stem] f.: aqoón « knowledge »; awoód

« strength »; oróh « saying »; oón

« food »

[o/a-stem] f.: oraáh « saying

ii. Nouns with prefixes and/or suffixes

[a-stem] + $\begin{cases} i \\ in \end{cases}$ m.: $\frac{\underline{ahm-i}}{\text{killed}}$, $\frac{\underline{ahm-in}}{\text{and eaten }}$ « fat animal to be

« government, orderly arrangement »

 $[o\text{-stem}] + \left\{ \begin{matrix} i \\ in \end{matrix} \right\} \text{m./f.}$:

aqoonn-í, aqoónn-in « known person »; ooll-im-áad « lying »; oom-í

« food »

[o-stem] + ati f.:

oom-atí « food »

y + [a-stem] m.: -y-aqáan « knower »; -y-áal

« lyer »; -y-áhan « eater »; -y-ácab

« drinker »

t + [a-stem] f.: $-t-a\acute{a}l \ll lyer »; -t-a\acute{a}n < *t-ah\acute{a}m$

« eater »

t + [a-stem] + o f.: $t-imma\acute{a}dd-o$ « future »; $t-a\acute{a}ll-o$

« heap of stones »; -t-áhm-o « ex-

pertise (?) »

t + [a-stem] + yo f.: $-t-ah\acute{a}n-yo$ « eater (?) »

t + [a-stem] + ti f.: $tummaati \leftarrow /t-ummaad-ti/$ « wound caused by a pointed

weapon »

t + [o-stem] + ti f.: $tammooti \leftarrow /t\text{-ammood-ti}/$

« animal killed before it dies

naturally »

b. Verbs

[*a*-stem] C1: [*a*-stem] C3:

ummáad « die », áal « lie, stay »

/imaat/ (?) « come »

[o-stem] C1: $aw\acute{o}od$ « be able »; $\acute{o}ol$ « lie, stay »; $\acute{o}rod$ « run »; $\acute{o}sol$ « be in heat » [o-stem] C3: /aqoot/ « know » $aso\acute{o}ll-i$ « mate (subj. ram) »

[o-stem] + aat C3: /ooll-aat/ « lie, stay »
[reduced stem] + at C3: /oim-at/ « come »; /or-at/ « say »

[reduced stem] + aatC3: /im-aat/ (?) « come ».

References

Agostini, F., A. Puglielli and Ciise M. Siyaad, 1985, *Dizionario Somalo Italiano*, Gangemi, Roma. Almkvist, H. 1881, *Die Bischari-Sprache*, vol. I, Upsala.

Andrzejewski, B.W. 1956, « Accentual patterns in verbal forms in the Isaaq dialect of Somali », Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies, 18: 103-129.

Andrzejewski, B.W. 1969, « Some observations on hybrid verbs in Somali », African Language Studies 10: 47-89.

Andrzejewski, B.W. 1975, « Verbs with vocalic mutation in Somali and their significance for Semito-Hamitic comparative studies ». In Bynon and Bynon, eds.: 361-376.

Banti, G. 1985, Lineamenti di fonologia, morfologia e sintassi del somalo e dei suoi dialetti, manuscript. Banti, G. (in print), « Evidence for a second type of suffix conjugation in Cushitic » in Proceedings of the IV International Congress of Hamito-Semitic Studies (Marburg, September 20,22, 1983). Bynon, J. and T. Bynon (eds.) 1975, Hamito-Semitica, Mouton, The Hague.

Cerulli, E. 1964, Somalia, vol. III. Ministero degli Affari Esteri, Roma.

Diem, W. and S. Wild (eds.) 1980, Studien aus Arabistik und Semitistik, Otto Harrassowitz, Wiesbaden. Ferrario, B. 1923, « Il verbo wqy in somalo », Archivio di Glottologia e Filologia Africana, 1: 9-14. Fleming, H.C. 1964, « Bayso and Rendille: Somali outliers », Rassegna di Studi Etiopici, 20: 35-96. Gasparini, A. 1983, Sidamo-English dictionary, EMI, Bologna.

Hayward, R.J. 1978, « The stative conjugation in Afar », Annali dell'Istituto Orientale di Napoli, 38: 1-39.

Hayward, R.J. 1979, « Some inferences from an irregular imperative form in Saho », *Israel Oriental Studies*, 9: 245-257.

Heine, B. 1978, « The Sam languages: a history of Rendille, Boni and Somali », *Afroasiatic Linguistics*, 6: 23-115.

Heine, B. 1981, « Some cultural evidence on the early Sam-speaking people of Eastern Africa », Sprache und Geschichte in Afrika, 3: 169-200.

Hetzron, R. 1976, « The Agaw languages », Afroasiatic Linguistics, 3: 31-75.

Hetzron, R. 1980, « The limits of Cushitic », Sprache und Geschichte in Afrika, 2: 7-126.

Lamberti, M. 1983, Die Somali-Dialekte: eine vergleichende Untersuchung, PHD Dissertation.

Leslau, W. 1963, Etymological dictionary of Harari, University of California Press, Bekeley.

Moreno, M.M. 1940, Manuale di sidamo, Arnoldo Mondadori, Roma.

Parker, E.M. and R.J. Hayward, 1985, An Afar-English-French dictionary, School of Oriental and African Studies, London.

Puglielli, A. 1984a, « La derivazione nominale in somalo », in Puglielli, ed.: 1-52.

Puglielli, A. (ed.) 1984b, Aspetti morfologici, lessicali e della focalizzazione (Studi Somali 5), MAE, Roma. Reinisch, L. 1903, Die Somali-Sprache. III. Grammatik, Alfred Hölder, Wien.

Roper, E.M. 1928, Tu Bedawie, Stephen Austin and Sons, Hertford.

Sasse, H.J. 1979, « The consonant phonemes of Proto-East-Cushitic (PEC): a first approximation », *Afroasiatic Linguistics*, 7: 1-67.

Sasse, H.J. 1980, « Ostkuschitische und semitische Verbalklassen », in Diem and Wild, eds.: 153-174. Sasse, H.J. 1982, *An etymological dictionary of Burji*, Helmut Buske, Hamburg.

Voigt, R.M. 1985, « Die beiden Präfixkonjugationen des Ostkuschitischen », Afrika und Übersee, 68: 87-104.

Zaborski, A. 1975, The verb in Cushitic, Iagellonic University, Krakow.

Zaborski, A. 1986, The morphology of the nominal plural in the Cushitic languages, Institut für Afrikanistik und Ägyptologie der Universität Wien, Wien.